



Konzultační formulář

Consultation form

Konzultační dokument podle Článku 26 Nařízení Komise (EU) 2017/460 ze dne 16. března 2017, kterým se zavádí kodex sítě harmonizovaných struktur přepravních sazeb pro zemní plyn

Consultation Document in accordance with Article 26 of Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/460 of 16 March 2017 establishing a network code on harmonised transmission tariff structures for gas

Dotčený subjekt

Interested party

	Regulační orgán Regulatory authority		Provozovatel distribuční soustavy Distribution system operator
	Ministerstvo nebo vládní organizace Ministry and governmental institution	X	Provozovatel zásobníku plynu Storage system operator
	Místní samospráva Municipality		Dodavatel plynu, obchodník Gas Supplier, Trader
	Akademická sféra Academia		Zákazník Customer
	Provozovatel přepravní soustavy Transmission system operator		Jiný Other

příslušné zařazení prosím označte X

please mark with X

Identifikace

Identification

Jméno právnické osoby Name of legal person	MND Gas Storage a.s.
Jméno a příjmení odesílatele Name and surname of the sender	
E-mailová adresa E-mail address	
Telefonní číslo Telephone number	
Datum Date	

Připomínky a podněty (v případě potřeby prosím přidejte další řádky)

Comments/initiatives (please add rows as needed)

Kapitola Chapter	Připomínky a podněty Comments and initiatives
6.2.4	We do not agree with the proposed discount of 50% and recommend granting a discount of 95-100%.
6.2.5	The dramatic increase in the costs of transmission to/from storage facilities may be almost crushing in some cases; under the <i>National Energy Policy</i> scenario, up to 1.2 bcm of new storage capacity will, paradoxically, have to be put in operation.
19	We do not agree with the transmission tariff increasing by 1,498% at exit to storage.
19.3	The consultation document and Excel file do not contain complete information for checking the calculation under the CWD methodology.

MND Gas Storage's opinion on the TAR NC

Representatives of MND Gas Storage (MND GS) want to thank the ERO for preparing the consultation document on Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/460 and for the time granted for consultations thereon. In the light of the information set out in the document MND GS raises the following comments:

The Commission Regulation's main objective is, *inter alia*, to boost supply security. In this respect, storage facilities are the key tool for maintaining the stability and effective functioning of the market. Under the Regulation, this contribution to system flexibility and security of supply of the infrastructure should be acknowledged by discount for transmission to/from storage facilities, which is also intended to avoid double charging for transmission.

Because of their crucial role and also the fact that storage facilities are currently facing strong market pressures, which the ERO also admits in the consultation document (page 23), we cannot agree with the tariff for transmission to/from storage facilities being significantly increased several times. In particular, we do not agree with the transmission tariff increasing by 1,498% at exit to storage (page 81, Table 37).

In practice, this can heavily disadvantage SSOs and also damage our customers who have bought, in good faith that transmission tariffs will not change so dramatically, storage capacity for 2020 and beyond.

With regard to the above we cannot agree with the discount proposed at the minimum level of 50%. This discount can have a significant impact on all market participants, in particular on SSOs, for which it may even be crushing in some cases. This scenario, resulting from the regulator's interference rather than the free market's working, is unacceptable and unfortunate for us in the light of the fact that under the *National Energy Policy* scenario, up to 1.2 bcm of new storage capacity will, paradoxically, have to be put into operation by 2050 (page 27 of the consultation document).

Although the Czech Republic is primarily a transit country, in our opinion we have to be aware of the importance of storage facilities for the whole market, in particular for secure gas supply to final customers, e.g., in case of disruption in transit from neighbouring countries.

Because of the overall systemic benefit of gas storage for the gas system we require that the proposed discount be reconsidered and changed to 95-100%.

We believe that the granting of such discount does not constitute a dramatic intervention in the environment of the Czech gas market, will prevent double charging of transmission to storage facilities, and reflects the general contribution to system flexibility and security of supply. Furthermore, it will guarantee the current or even more active trading in the Czech gas market. This also has direct influence on the TSO, for whom the active use of the system is crucial in covering the costs of its ongoing investments in the gas infrastructure.